

Questi	on 1: Syr	nthesis	Es	say Rubri	c (6 points)	
only restjust a sur	no defensible the rates the prompt. mmary of the issu- besn't answer the 1 point EVIDENCE: From at least two sources. But summarizes evidence; doesn't explain how the evidence supports the student's argument.	ie	Re pro	esents a defensible (STUDENT takes a star reasoning, offers solut 3 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence/ commentary from at least FOUR sources to defend position. AND	hept with a thesis that position and, position, organizes MAIN ion/best course of action, why) 4 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence/ commentary from at least <i>FIVE</i> sources to defend position. AND Consistently Refers evidence/sources Back to student's OWN arguments/ reasons. *Debate sources vs source	
Opoints Does not meet the criteria for one point.	 point Demonstrates sophistication in style or cognition Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive. Expound upon implications or limitations of your/or text's arguments Identify nuances /exploring complexities or tensions between the arguments. Strengthen your argument's impact by using <u>Rhetorical Devices.</u> 					

Question 2: Rhetorical Analysis Rubric (6 points)									
 0 points if There is no defensible thesis. only restates the prompt. just a summary of the issue Thesis doesn't answer the prompt. 			1 point Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a <u>defensible position</u> that ANALYZES an <u>Author's message</u> , Rhetorical Choices, and purpose/effect on audience.						
0 points	1 point	2 points		3 points	4 points				
thesis, or repeats	Provides evidence that is mostly general. AND Commentary : Summarizes the evidence <u>but does</u> NOT refer to author's purpose	EVIDENCE: 7 explains some specific relevant evidence and gives some commentary, BUT Student's argument has <u>No LINE of</u> <u>REASONING</u> = constantly refer back to student thesis/ author's message/ or purpose on AUDIENCE -add to your Concluding		choices and gives commentary to support <u>all claims</u> in a line of reasoning. AND Referred 1-2x back to the writer's	EVIDENCE: 15 explains MANY specific rhetorical choices and gives commentaries to support <u>all claims in</u> a line of reasoning. AND Referred back to the writer's argument/ purpose on AUDIENCE, 4-5x				
0 points Does not meet the criteria for one point.	 Employing a sty Explain the sign 	<u>de</u> that is o ificance / i	consistentl implicatior	a understanding of r y <u>vivid and persuas</u> n of the <mark>writer's</mark> Rhe es/ complexities / te	etorical choices				

Question 3: Argument Essay Rubric (6 points)							
 0 points if There is no defensible thesis. only restates the prompt. just a summary of the issue Thesis doesn't answer the prompt. 1 point Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position. (STUDENT takes a stand, position, organizes MAIN reasoning, offers solution/best course of action, why) 							
0 points Simply restates thesis, or repeats provided information	1 point EVIDENCE: Evidence is mostly General; Student doesn't explain how the evidence supports his/her argument.	2 points EVIDENCE: Provides some evidence/ reasoning, logic. Offers some Commentary, Including testimony, BUT NO -LINE of REASONING= Constantly refer evidence/ source BACK to student's thesis/ reasoning.	3 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence/ reasoning, logic, pathos, adjectives to defend position. AND Offers specific commentary/ explanations. Including testimony, historical allusions. Defends some evidence/reasoning Back to student's OWN main arguments	4 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence/ reasoning, logic, pathos, adjectives to defend position. AND Offers specific commentary/ explanations. Including testimony, historical allusions, analogies, similes, etc. Defends consistently evidence/reasoning Back to student's OWN main arguments			
O points Does not meet the criteria for one point.	 point Demonstrates sophistication in style or cognition Employ a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive. Expound upon implications or limitations of your arguments in a larger context Identify nuances /exploring complexities or tensions of the argument(s). Strengthen your argument's impact by using <u>Rhetorical Devices.</u> 						